June 28, 2024

Assistant Commissioner and Director General Virginie Ethier
Patent Branch
Canadian Intellectual Property Office
Department of Industry
Ottawa ON Canada

Submitted via email: cipoconsultations-opicconsultations@ISED-ISDE.gc.ca

Re: Comments concerning proposed Regulations Amending the Patent Rules and Certain Regulations Made Under the Patent Act

Dear Assistant Commissioner and Director General Ethier:

Intellectual Property Owners Association (IPO) appreciates the opportunity to respond to the request for consultation regarding proposed regulatory amendments to the Patent Rules for establishing Patent Term Adjustment (“PTA”) to compensate patent owners for undue delays in the issuance of their patents (“proposed Regulations”).

IPO is an international trade association representing a “big tent” of diverse companies, law firms, service providers and individuals in all industries and fields of technology that own, or are interested in, intellectual property rights. IPO membership includes over 125 companies and spans over 30 countries. IPO advocates for effective and affordable IP ownership rights, and its vision is the global acceleration of innovation, creativity, and investment necessary to improve lives. The Board of Directors has adopted a strategic objective to foster diverse engagement in the innovation ecosystem and to integrate diversity, equity, and inclusion in all its work to complement IPO’s mission of promoting high quality and enforceable IP rights, and predictable legal systems for all industries and technologies.

IPO appreciates the Canadian government’s efforts to implement PTA under the Canada-United States-Mexico Agreement (“CUSMA”). IPO believes that changes to the proposed Regulations are necessary, however, in order to reflect the protections that Canada agreed to under CUSMA. It is worth underscoring that most patent systems, including Canada’s, moved from a regime where the period of exclusivity ran for seventeen years post-grant to the present system that provides for a patent term of twenty years from the date of filing an application. IPO maintains that any undue delay in the grant of a patent—and certainly any undue delay of more than three years—must be remedied under CUSMA. The proposed Regulations need to be modified in order to offer such guarantees. Below, IPO sets out its high-level concerns, encouraging a balanced approach which would not unduly prejudice patentees.
1. **Undue Term Reductions Penalize Patentees**

The patent system in Canada has been carefully designed, as is the case around the world, to allow periods of pendency in the application process. This enables patentees to harmonize efforts in various jurisdictions concurrent with the opportunity for patent offices to consult alternate jurisdictions’ prosecution histories and cited prior art. Permitting periods of pendency in the prosecution process is important as it ultimately serves to improve the quality of patents granted in Canada.

Yet, under the proposed Regulations, any time provided to and used by the patentee in prosecuting a patent application will simultaneously count against the patentee. Put another way, any time taken—time to which a patentee is entitled under the Patent Act and Patent Rules—will negatively impact a patentee’s right to secure PTA as it will be used to, in effect, offset undue delay by the Canadian Intellectual Property Office (“CIPO”). As a consequence, not only will any potential PTA be eroded, there will be a deleterious effect of diminishing the time that is often required—and permitted under the Act and Rules—to ensure that patentees can properly respond to CIPO examiners and attend to other administrative actions.

2. **Patentees Bear a Disproportionate Burden to Secure PTA**

The proposed Regulations impose on patentees a disproportionate burden to secure PTA from a number of perspectives.

**Administrative burden.** As opposed to the procedure that has been in place in the United States since 1999, the proposed Regulations shift the burden of calculating the CIPO’s undue delay onto the patentee. The onus of securing a PTA for a given patent lies with the patentee, who must file a request within a short three-month period to establish their PTA. Yet, CIPO will also have to perform the same calculation when it verifies a patentee’s PTA request. In contrast to the proposed regime in Canada, in jurisdictions like the United States, PTA is automatically calculated by the Office and the patentee is only required to submit a request if the patentee wishes to challenge that calculation.

**Cost burden.** From a financial perspective, the proposed Regulations impose a significant cost burden on the patentee for requesting the PTA to which it is entitled. Under the proposed Regulations, the patentee must pay a fee of $2,500 to have its PTA request considered. That request must be made very shortly after grant, often well before the patentee is able to gauge the commercial value of the patent. There is no such fee in the United States. A fee only arises in the United States when requesting reconsideration of the calculated PTA and, even then, it is currently only US$210 (with a proposed increase to US$300 in 2025), which is much less than the substantial request fee under the proposed Regulations. IPO suggests that Canada adopt a more reasonably priced system.
Burden of finality. Unlike in the United States, the proposed Regulations do not provide any means for a patentee to challenge the PTA determination made by the CIPO in response to a request from a patentee. *(See section “3” below for further explanation of why this is inequitable.)*

Burden to avoid RCE. Under the proposed Regulations, any time during which a patent application is pending following the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (“RCE”) will be automatically deducted from any PTA to which the patentee would otherwise be entitled. Notably, the current *Rules* stipulate that a RCE must be filed once a third Office Action is issued for the response to be considered. This requirement poses an undue burden on the patentee to avoid a third Office Action by requiring an applicant to anticipate and address any potential issues that the examiner *may* raise to avoid a situation where an RCE becomes mandatory. This again shifts a considerable burden onto the patentee, particularly in cases where an examiner fails to raise one or more objections earlier in the prosecution process, as is often encountered.

Burden of accruing delay during a successful appeal. It is concerning that, should a patentee appeal an objection/refusal under § 41 of the Patent Act through the Patent Appeal Board to a successful conclusion, the steps taken in pursuing such an appeal will count against the successful patentee in terms of calculating PTA.

IPO believes that the proposed Regulations should be revised to address these concerns in order to implement a balanced approach to PTA that would not unduly prejudice patentees.

3. Proposed Regulations Favor Third Parties Over Patentee

The proposed Regulations would permit any third party to request shortening the PTA potentially available to a patentee, but they would not allow a patentee to request a longer PTA in circumstances when they believe the CIPO’s PTA calculation is erroneous. This lack of symmetry should be remedied to allow the patentee to make such a request in order to avoid an inequity to a patentee.

Conclusion

The proposed Regulations are intended to implement rights bargained for as part of CUSMA. A failure to implement regulations that reflect this bargain and remedy the imbalance described above will have the effect of diminishing Canadian patent rights as compared with patent rights granted in other countries. This is likely to have a negative effect on investment in innovation within Canada. IPO asks CIPO to consider the submissions of stakeholders, including those of IPO, to ensure that fair, balanced, and effective regulations are enacted.
Thank you for your consideration of IPO’s comments. IPO would welcome further consultation to define regulations that will ensure that patentees are provided an equitable and effective remedy for undue delay in the prosecution of their patents before CIPO, consistent with CUSMA.

Sincerely,

Krish Gupta
President