

June 17, 2024

President Krish Gupta Dell Technologies

> Vice President John Cheek Tenneco Inc.

3M Innovative Properties Co.

Treasurer Yen Florczak

Kalyan Deshpande, Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge Amanda Wieker, Acting Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge U.S. Patent and Trademark Office 600 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Submitted via: https://www.regulations.gov

Dear Vice Chief Judges Deshpande and Wieker:

Intellectual Property Owners Association ("IPO") appreciates the opportunity to respond to the USPTO's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), published in the Federal Register on April 16, 2024, concerning Rules Governing Director Review of Patent Trial and Appeal Board Decisions, 89 Fed. Reg. 26,807 (Apr. 16, 2024).

IPO is an international trade association representing a "big tent" of diverse companies, law firms, service providers and individuals in all industries and fields of technology that own, or are interested in, intellectual property rights. IPO membership includes over 125 companies and spans over 30 countries. IPO advocates for effective and affordable IP ownership rights and offers a wide array of services, including supporting member interests relating to legislative and international issues; analyzing current IP issues; providing information and educational services; supporting and advocating for diversity, equity, and inclusion in IP and innovation; and disseminating information to the public on the importance of IP rights.

IPO's vision is the global acceleration of innovation, creativity, and investment necessary to improve lives. The Board of Directors has adopted a strategic objective to foster diverse engagement in the innovation ecosystem and to integrate diversity, equity, and inclusion in all its work to complement IPO's mission of promoting high quality and enforceable IP rights and predictable legal systems for all industries and technologies.

IPO supports the USPTO's mission to drive innovation, entrepreneurship, and creativity for the benefit of all Americans and people around the world. IPO recommends that the USPTO adopt the proposed rules. The proposed rules reasonably:

- limit requests for Director Review to parties to the proceeding;
- limit requests for Director Review to final written decisions, decisions on institution, and decisions granting rehearing of such decisions;
- require parties to choose between requesting Director Review or rehearing by the panel;
- adopt the criteria and standard of review from the current Interim Process;
- impose a 21-day deadline on *sua sponte* Director Review; and
- do not make Director Review decisions precedential by default.

David Alban Brett Alten Hewlett Packard Enterprise Matthew Anderson Medtronic, Inc Ron Antush Nokia of America Corp. Estelle Bakun Exxon Mobil Corp. Scott Barke Micron Technology, Inc. Thomas Beall Tyrome Brown Dolby Laboratories Karen Cochran Shell USA, Inc. Tonya Combs Eli Lilly and Co. Anthony DiBartolomeo **Daniel Enebo** Caraill Inc. Andrea Evensen Danaher Corp **Louis Foreman** Scott M. Frank AT&T Darryl P. Frickey Dow Chemical Co Tanuja Garde Mike Geise General Mills, Inc. Robert Giles Qualcomm, Inc Laura Ginkel Merck & Co., Inc Henry Hadad Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. Scott Hayden Amazon Thomas R. Kingsbury Bridgestone Americas Holdina Co. Laurie Kowalsky Koninklijke Philips N.V. **Christine Lam** Hsin Lin The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. Alexander Long GE Aerospace Ceyda Maisami HP Inc. Aseem Mehta Bayer Intellectual Property GmbH Kelsey Milman Caterpillar Inc. Jeffrey Myers Apple Inc. Robin Nava RTX Corporation Kaveh Rashidi-Yazd Corey Salsberg Novartis Matthew Sarboraria Oracle Corp **Derek Scott** Roche, Inc. Laura Sheridan Google Inc. Jessica Sinnott DuPont **Thomas Smith** GlaxoSmithKline **Daniel Staudt** Gillian Thackray Thermo Fisher Scientific Brian Tomko Johnson & Johnson Mark Vallone IBM, Corp. **Stuart Watt** Amgen, Inc. Bryan Zielinski

Directors

InterDigital

Steve Akerley

Lauren Levden Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLF

Pfizer Inc.

The proposed rules do not, however, set a deadline by which Director Review must conclude. A rule setting forth a time limit would provide parties with certainty regarding the time in which a proceeding will end for purposes of an appeal to the Federal Circuit or the lifting of a stay that may have been instituted by a district court.

Sincerely,

Krish Gupta

Knish Gupta

President