February 16, 2022

*Via Email:* ppac@uspto.gov

**Re: Design Patents — MPEP Section and Training Materials**

Dear Mr. Chair and Members of the Patent Public Advisory Committee:

Intellectual Property Owners Association (IPO) writes to ask that the PPAC strongly encourage the USPTO both to update Chapter 1500 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) directed to design patents and to make training materials on design-patent-specific issues available to the public.

IPO is an international trade association representing a “big tent” of diverse companies, law firms, service providers and individuals in all industries and fields of technology that own, or are interested in, intellectual property (IP) rights. IPO membership includes over 125 companies and spans over 30 countries. IPO advocates for effective and affordable IP ownership rights and offers a wide array of services, including supporting member interests relating to legislative and international issues; analyzing current IP issues; providing information and educational services; supporting and advocating for diversity, equity, and inclusion in IP and innovation; and disseminating information to the public on the importance of IP rights.

IPO’s vision is the global acceleration of innovation, creativity, and investment necessary to improve lives. The Board of Directors has adopted a strategic objective to foster diverse engagement in the innovation ecosystem and to integrate diversity, equity, and inclusion in all its work to complement IPO’s mission of promoting high quality and enforceable IP rights and predictable legal systems for all industries and technologies.

**A. Chapter 1500 of the MPEP Should be Updated To Reflect Current Jurisprudence**

Chapter 1500 of the MPEP is the only chapter in the MPEP devoted exclusively to design-patent applications. As outlined below, this chapter is now out of date with respect to design patent jurisprudence and should be updated to reflect more recent developments.
Chapter 1500 was last updated in June 2020 to “reflect USPTO patent practice and relevant case law as of October 13, 2019.” The changes made to Chapter 1500 in June 2020, however, were minor, including formatting changes, updating internal references and URLs, and adding clarifications such as “pre-AIA.” This update, however, added no new case law. (The most-recent Federal Circuit case on design law that is discussed in Chapter 1500 of the MPEP is a citation to *Sport Dimension Inc. v. Coleman, Inc.*, 820 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2016), which was added in the January 2018 revision.)

This Chapter should be updated to reflect recent decisions. For example, the current version of Chapter 1500 does not include any reference to *In re Maatita*, 900 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2018), an important decision that overturned an examiner’s rejection of a design patent application under 35 U.S.C. § 112, and which is frequently relevant to the patentability of designs undergoing examination. The lack of official USPTO guidance on this decision is an impediment to consistent and expeditious examination of design patent applications.

Further, any future revision of the MPEP should include a reference to *In re Surgisil*, 14 F.4th 1380 (Fed. Cir. 2021), the recent Federal Circuit case overturning the USPTO’s position on the appropriate scope of prior art when examining design patent applications under § 102.

In addition, since 2012, the PTAB has issued Final Written Decisions in twenty-two Inter Partes Review or Post-grant Review proceedings, upholding nine design patents as patentable and finding thirteen unpatentable. The PTAB also frequently issues decisions in *ex parte* design-patent appeals. Since August 2018 (when the last case law was added to Chapter 1500, as noted above), the PTAB has issued 127 decisions in *ex parte* design-patent appeals. Despite this wealth of guidance from the PTAB, there is only one PTAB case (*Munchkin, Inc. v. Luv N’ Care, Ltd.*, 110 USPQ 2d 1580 (PTAB 2014)) cited in Chapter 1500.

**B. Further Public Guidance is Needed Regarding Recent Decisions**

There is also a lack of public guidance regarding the impact of recent decisions. Unlike in other areas, to the best of IPO’s knowledge, the USPTO has issued no public interim guidance, updates, memorandums, or training materials covering any of the above design-related decisions. In addition, with respect to training materials, there are
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2 PTAB Decisions Database, [https://developer.uspto.gov/ptab-web/#/search/proceedings](https://developer.uspto.gov/ptab-web/#/search/proceedings) (searching decision date August 1, 2018 through January 26, 2022 where Technology Center 2900 (the design technology center) is the respondent).
no design-patent specific training materials posted on the USPTO’s Examination Guidance and Training Materials page.³

C. Accelerated Update of Chapter 1500, and Allowing Public Access to USPTO Training Materials, Would Greatly Benefit Examiners, Applicants, Practitioners, and the Public

IPO asks the PPAC to consider requesting that the USPTO promptly update Chapter 1500 to reflect the current state of design-patent jurisprudence. IPO also requests that the PPAC consider asking the USPTO to post training materials in design-patent specific topics, as the USPTO regularly does with respect to other patent issues.

Since 2018 when Chapter 1500 was last substantively updated, on average, more than 46,000 design patent applications have been filed each year with the USPTO.⁴ The current backlog of unexamined design applications is 73,664.⁵ Promptly updating Chapter 1500 is essential because an up-to-date Chapter 1500 that accounts for current case law will facilitate accurate and expeditious review of these pending design patent applications. And allowing the public access to the USPTO training materials will aid the public in understanding how the USPTO teaches its examiners about these important topics.

IPO thanks you for your attention to these comments and welcomes further dialogue and the opportunity to provide additional comments.

Sincerely,

Karen Cochran
President
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