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This Practical Guide is designed to be used to understand and improve Diversity & Inclusion (D&I) of legal professionals – especially lawyers – in law firms and corporate legal departments.

This Guide can be used for presentations and trainings to legal teams in law firms or corporate legal departments. It can also be used for individual education and reference.

This Guide comprises three main sections, which a user can work through to build knowledge and understanding.

- **Section 101** of this Guide is an introductory explanation of D&I.
- **Section 201** of this Practical Guide is where most companies and law firms can dig into D&I statistics, main issues, and suggested solutions.
- Finally, the **Master Level** section of this Practical guide is for companies and law firms who have excellent diversity numbers but are struggling with inclusion and specifically inclusion of specific groups of underrepresented lawyers.

The questionnaires on pages 4 and 5 of this Guide can assist a user in determining which section of the Guide will be most useful to the individual user or a legal team. This Guide can be used in whole or in part according to the needs of the user. Links throughout this Guide can be used to jump to various sections and linked content.
Which Section of the Practical Guide Applies to you?

Answer the questions in Section A first:

**Section A**

1. I know what Implicit Bias is
   - Yes
   - No

2. I know how Implicit Bias affects D&I
   - Yes
   - No

3. I understand the difference between Diversity and Inclusion
   - Yes
   - No

4. I am NOT a biased person
   - Yes
   - No

If you had two or more “No” responses to the above, please review Section 101, otherwise please proceed to Section 201.
Which Section of the Practical Guide Applies to you?

Answer the questions in Section B after you have finished reviewing Section 201:

Section B

1. I know how Implicit Bias affects D&I
   - Yes
   - No

2. I understand the difference between Diversity and Inclusion
   - Yes
   - No

3. Everyone human being is biased
   - Yes
   - No

4. I understand that D&I issues in my company/firm are not entirely attributed to pipeline issues
   - Yes
   - No

If you had 2 or more “No” responses to the above, please review Section 101 and Section 201, otherwise please proceed to the MASTER LEVEL section.
SAMPLE D&I Survey* for Legal Professionals in Law Firms or Corporate Legal Departments

1. I feel like I belong at [company/firm].
   o Yes
   o No

2. I can voice a contrary opinion without fear of negative consequences.
   o Yes
   o No

3. Perspectives like mine are included in decision making.
   o Yes
   o No

4. My company/firm believes that people can greatly improve their talents and abilities.
   o Yes
   o No

5. Tasks/projects that don’t have a specific owner are fairly assigned.
   o Yes
   o No

6. I know how I can be promoted at my company/firm.
   o Yes
   o No

7. I am confident that I will be promoted at my company/firm because of my accomplishments.
   o Yes
   o No

8. I am the token diverse individual in my group.
   o Yes
   o No

9. I receive mentoring/sponsoring within my company/firm.
   o Yes
   o No

10. I am treated with respect in my company/firm.
    o Yes
    o No

* At least ~20% of a law firm or a corporate legal department’s legal professionals should respond to this survey for the results of this survey to have actionable meaning for the law firm or the corporate legal department.

- If the survey in your law firm / corporate legal department results in 80%+ “Yes” responses from at least ~20% of your legal professionals, then your law firm / corporate legal department can focus on the Master Level section of this Practical Guide.

- If the survey in your law firm / corporate legal department results in 50%-80% “Yes” responses from at least ~20% of your legal professionals, then your law firm / corporate legal department can focus on Section 201 and then the Master Level section of this Practical Guide.

- If the survey in your law firm / corporate legal department results in less than 50% “Yes” responses from at least ~20% of your legal professionals, then your law firm / corporate legal department can focus on Section 101, then Section 201, and then the Master Level section of this Practical Guide.
Section 101
What is diversity?

**Diversity** is the things that make us different, including race, ethnicity, gender, gender identity, gender expression, sexual orientation, age, social class, physical ability or attributes, religion, and national origin.

**Inclusion** is the active and ongoing engagement with and empowerment of diverse groups of people. An inclusive environment promotes belonging and gives everyone a seat and a voice at the same table.

Diversity can and often does exist without inclusion, but both are critical.
What do diversity and inclusion look like?

• Diversity can be achieved simply by having diverse employees, even if those employees do not feel included or supported.

• Inclusion requires bringing people together and giving everyone a voice in the business—not just in D&I efforts.
What is implicit bias?

- **Implicit bias** refers to the unconscious attitudes or stereotypes that affect our understanding, actions, and decisions.

- These biases—which can encompass both favorable and unfavorable assessments—manifest involuntarily without an individual’s awareness or intentional control. They are a byproduct of how our brains process millions of pieces of information a day. [Click for Science](https://implicit.harvard.edu/implicit/)

- Implicit biases have a negative impact on workplace diversity when they impact key factors such as hiring, promotion, or compensation.

Why should an organization care?

Lack of diversity has measurable **economic consequences**, including costs associated with turnover, legal action, lost productivity, and reputational harm.

- **The turnover rate** is much higher for women and minorities—as much as double that of white men, and the cost of turnover for a single high-level employee can be in the hundreds of thousands.
- **Legal action** alleging discriminatory practices against companies costs companies hundreds of millions of dollars a year, every year, when including out-of-court EEOC charges and state and federal court litigation.

Failure to prioritize diversity and inclusion costs an organization money.

Because it is the **right** thing to do!

---

IPO Women in IP Committee, Push Forward Subcommittee, Economics of Diversity (2019)
**Why prioritize diversity?**

Diversity increases **sales revenue**—one study found an increase of 9% correlated with an increase in racial diversity and an increase of 3% correlated with an increase in gender diversity.

Diverse companies are more **profitable**—companies in the top quartile for racial and gender diversity are more than 33% and 21%, respectively, more likely to outperform the national median of profitability than companies in the bottom quartile.

Diversity increases **market share**—companies with diverse teams are 70% more likely to report the capture of a new market within the past year and more than 45% more likely to report market growth in the prior year.

How to improve diversity?

There are many strategies to improve diversity, but fundamental to any successful strategy is **training**.

**Other strategies include** a focus on diversity in recruitment, mentoring, retention, culture, promotion, compensation, and other aspects of employment.

Diversity and inclusion must be an ongoing focus of the organization for there to be real, measurable improvement.
How to get the best results?


### Diversity Programs That Get Results

Companies do a better job of increasing diversity when they forget the control tactics and frame their efforts more positively. The most effective programs spark engagement, increase contact among different groups, or draw on people’s strong desire to look good to others.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Program</th>
<th>White Men</th>
<th>White Women</th>
<th>Black Men</th>
<th>Black Women</th>
<th>Hispanic Men</th>
<th>Hispanic Women</th>
<th>Asian Men</th>
<th>Asian Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary training</td>
<td>+13.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>+9.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>+9.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>+12.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-managed teams</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>+5.6</td>
<td>+3.4</td>
<td>+3.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-training</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>+3.0</td>
<td>+2.7</td>
<td>+3.0</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>+6.5</td>
<td>+4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College recruitment: women*</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>+10.2</td>
<td>+7.9</td>
<td>+8.7</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+18.3</td>
<td>+8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College recruitment: minorities**</td>
<td>+7.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+18.0</td>
<td>+9.1</td>
<td>+23.7</td>
<td>+18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity task forces</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>+11.6</td>
<td>+8.7</td>
<td>+22.7</td>
<td>-12.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+16.2</td>
<td>+30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity managers</td>
<td>+7.5</td>
<td>+17.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+11.1</td>
<td>-18.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>+10.9</td>
<td>+13.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*College recruitment targeting women turns recruiting managers into diversity champions, so it also helps boost the numbers for black and Asian-American men.

**College recruitment targeting minorities often focuses on historically black schools, which lifts the numbers of African-American men and women.

Note: Gray indicates no statistical certainty of a program’s effect.

Source: Authors’ study of 82 midsize and large U.S. firms. The analysis isolated the effects of diversity programs from everything else going on in the companies and in the economy.

From: “Why Diversity Programs Fail” by Frank Dobbin and Alexandra Kalev, July-August 2016 © HBR.org
How to get started?

Assess the state of your current diversity and inclusion efforts—what have you done, what is working, what is not working, how can you improve?

Assessment Tool
How to proceed?

Section 201 of this Practical Guide outlines practical strategies for assessing and improving the diversity of your organization.
Section 101 Summary

Diversity

Inclusion
End of Section 101

Proceed to Section 201
Section 201
STATISTICS
LSAC Demographic Data – Gender

% Accepted Law School Applicants by Gender

- 2016: 51.2% Female, 48.8% Male
- 2017: 52.0% Female, 48.0% Male
- 2018: 52.8% Female, 47.2% Male
LSAC Demographic Data – Race/Ethnicity

% Accepted Law School Applicants by Race/Ethnicity

- Black/African American
- Asian
- Caucasian/White
- Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
- Hispanic/Latino
- American Indian/Alaska Native

2016:
- 10.0%

2017:
- 10.0%

2018:
- 10.1%
ABA Lawyer Population Data – Gender

% Attorneys by Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ABA Lawyer Population Data – Race/Ethnicity

% Attorneys by Race/Ethnicity

- African-American
- Asian
- Caucasian/White
- Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
- Hispanic
- Multiracial
- Native American
AIPLA Survey Results – **Gender**

- 2019 AIPLA Economic Survey results
- Most respondents (>90%) were patent attorneys
AIPLA Survey Results – Race/Ethnicity

- 2019 AIPLA Economic Survey results
- Most respondents (>90%) were patent attorneys
Something is wrong...

- 15% diverse attorneys
- Pipeline contributors
- 36% women attorneys
- Technical background requirement

~19% women patent attorneys
~14% diverse patent attorneys
CAUSES OF D&I PROBLEMS
Pipeline Contributors of D&I Problems

*Before First Legal Job*

- Discrimination and/or Implicit Bias
  - Myth of the Meritocracy
  - Institutional Barriers
  - Opportunity Gap
Discrimination

Examples:
- “Women are too sensitive.”
- Not promoting racial minorities because of their race.
- Paying a man and a woman differently for doing substantially similar work.
- Not hiring someone who is in a wheelchair for a desk job.
Implicit Bias

Causes us to perceive differences that do not exist. Our brains perceive lines of different length even when they are the same.

More Info: Toolkit on Implicit Bias
Myth of the Meritocracy

In “meritocracy,” women and minorities have to work harder and obtain higher performance scores to receive the same compensation as white men.

The more objective a person believes they are, the more bias impacts their decisions.

More Info: Myth of the Meritocracy
Opportunity Gap

Refers to the ways in which race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, English proficiency, community wealth, familial situations, or other factors contribute to or perpetuate lower educational aspirations, achievement, and attainment for certain groups of students.

More info: Opportunity Gap

Opportunity Gap = Inputs
Unequal or inequitable distribution of resources and opportunities

Achievement Gap = Outputs
Unequal or inequitable distribution of educational results and benefits
Institutional Barriers

Institutional barriers (IBs) are policies, procedures, or situations that systematically disadvantage certain groups of people.

IBs exist in any group situation where there is a clear majority group.

When an initial population is fairly similar (e.g., in male-dominated professions), systems naturally emerge to meet the needs of this population.

IBs often seem natural or “just the way things are around here.”
Root Causes of D&I Problems

After First Legal Job and Beyond

- Discrimination and/or Implicit Bias
- Pay Gaps
- Myth of the Meritocracy
- Institutional Fear of Change
- Vague Feedback
- Work-Life Balance
- Microaggressions
- Lack of Equitable Advancement Opportunities
**Vague Feedback**

Vague feedback can hold people back:

- Stereotypes about capabilities make reviewers less likely to connect contributions to business outcomes or technical expertise.
  - E.g., stereotypes about women’s care-giving abilities may cause reviewers to attribute women’s accomplishments to teamwork rather than leadership.
- Vague feedback omits actionable content so employees can neither correct or replicate behavior.

**Examples:**

- “People like working with you.”
- “You are too direct.”

**More Info:** [Toolkit on Implicit Bias](#)
Work-Life Balance

- Inflexible work schedules
- Inequitable advancement opportunities
- Inequitable assignment of projects
Pay Gaps

Source: https://www.payscale.com/data/gender-pay-gap

More Info: Gender Pay Gaps White Paper
Institutional Fear of Change

“I want you to find a bold and innovative way to do everything exactly the same way it’s been done for 25 years!”
Microaggressions

- “You’re a patent attorney?!”
- Excluding one team member and recognizing other team members for a team accomplishment
- “You’re too confident.”
- “You don’t have an accent!”
- “You have a great tan!”

Source: https://health.howstuffworks.com/mental-health/human-nature/behavior/microagressions.htm
SOLUTIONS TO D&I PROBLEMS
Solutions to the Pipeline D&I Problems

**Discrimination and/or Implicit Bias**
- TRAINING
- MINDFULNESS

**Myth of the Meritocracy**
- TRAINING
- MINDFULNESS
- RECRUITING AT AFFINITY SCHOOLS AND VIA AFFINITY GROUPS

**Opportunity Gap**
- TRAINING
- MINDFULNESS
- RECRUITING AT AFFINITY SCHOOLS AND VIA AFFINITY GROUPS

**Institutional Barriers**
- TRAINING
- AWARENESS
- RECRUITING AT AFFINITY SCHOOLS AND VIA AFFINITY GROUPS
Solutions to the Myth of the Meritocracy

An Example:

- **Problem**: Lack of diversity in support staff of large service-sector company

- **“Solution”**: Merit-driven compensation system intended to reward all employees equally for high-level performance

- **Result**: System awarded white men more compensation than women and minorities even where they held the same jobs, in the same units, with the same supervisors, and had the same performance score

- **Actual Solution**: Understanding that the “meritocracy” is a myth because:
  - It ignores differences in skill sets and devalues certain skill sets
  - It allows and encourages bias to be ignored, which increases the effect of bias on decision-making

More Info: Myth of the Meritocracy
Solutions to Opportunity Gaps

TRAINING

RECRUITING AT AFFINITY SCHOOLS AND VIA AFFINITY GROUPS

MINDFULNESS
Solutions to Institutional Barriers

- If these systems do not change with the times, they can inhibit the success of new members with different needs.
- Identifying institutional barriers is NOT about finding fault or ill intent.
- Institutional barriers naturally arise and need to be addressed in almost all majority-minority situations.
- Using a problem-solving approach can avoid a defensive reaction, especially when evidence is presented.

TRAINING

MINDFULNESS

RECRUITING AT AFFINITY SCHOOLS AND VIA AFFINITY GROUPS
SOLUTIONS to Discrimination

Don’t discriminate!!!

Educate and train yourself and your employees on a regular basis
**SOLUTIONS to Implicit Bias**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recognize</th>
<th>Overcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Unconscious Selves at Work</td>
<td>• Acknowledge/value differences (perspectives, backgrounds, cultures, experiences, ideologies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Who am I?</td>
<td>• Encourage connections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Who’s like me?</td>
<td>• Provide clear &amp; constructive feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Confirmation Bias</td>
<td>• Build relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Micro-Affirmations</td>
<td>• Practice effective communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Micro-Inequities</td>
<td>• Be mindful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Where am I getting my information?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SOLUTIONS to Vague Feedback

Suggestions for Evaluators:

- Outline specific criteria being relied upon to evaluate individuals.
- Articulate specific results or behaviors that would demonstrate mastery of their job.
- Use the same criteria for all employees at the same level.

Suggestions for those being Evaluated:

- Ask for specific examples when given vague feedback. To avoid sounding defensive, offer something along the lines of “I really want to understand your feedback because I value it. Can you please provide some examples?”

More info: Toolkit on Vague Feedback
SOLUTIONS to Pay Gaps

- Standardize compensation
- Audit all compensation on a periodic basis
- Be objective
SOLUTIONS to Institutional Fear of Change

- Change leadership to include change agents and thought leaders
- Educate and train
- Set goals and a timeline
- Review hiring practices
- Review inclusion procedures
## SOLUTIONS to Work-Life Balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflexible work schedules</td>
<td>Formalize alternative work schedule policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inequitable assignment of projects</td>
<td>Use clear objective criteria for assigning projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalties for alternative schedules</td>
<td>Use clear objective criteria for advancement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More info: [Toolkit on Work-life Balance](#)
**SOLUTIONS** to Lack of Equitable Advancement Opportunities

**Vague feedback results in difficulty advancing**
- "Action oriented" feedback provides actionable course of behavior for employee to improve performance
- Evaluator should systematically tie all feedback to business goals / outcomes and also provide specific examples

**Reduce unconscious bias in reviews through defined expectations and goals for each position, use of performance checklists, etc.**
- Implicit bias training for all employees involved in performance review
- Beware the myth of the "meritocracy"!

**Use technology and data to identify problems and track outcomes**
- Patterns of bias in promotion and hiring
- Disparities in compensation and rewards
SOLUTIONS to Microaggressions

Examples of Microaggressions

- I’d love to promote you but what about the poor white men?
- There’s just not enough qualified women and minorities to hire.
- Charlotte is too bold.

Solutions

Be Mindful

- Educate and train
- Stop with the underhanded “compliments”
- Take the effort to get to know people rather than base any comments or opinions based on appearances
Section 201 Summary

Discrimination & Implicit Bias

- Vague Feedback
- Myth of the Meritocracy
- Implement Objective & Clear Equitable Advancement Opportunities
- Pay Gaps
- Institutional Fear of Change
- Microaggressions
- Work-Life Balance
End of Section 201
Proceed to Master Level
Master Level

Advanced Considerations in Addressing Issues of Diversity & Inclusion
HIRING & RETENTION

Improve diversity by improving hiring
and
improve retention by improving inclusion!
Solutions to D&I Problems Affecting HIRING

- Implicit Bias / Myth of the Meritocracy
- Rigid / Subjective / Archaic Hiring Practices
- Leadership Lacks Diversity
- Institutional Fear of Change

Training
- Revamped hiring practices
  - Option 1
  - Option 2
- Management commitment to diverse leadership
- Increased diversity in leadership
**SOLUTIONS** to Rigid / Subjective / Archaic Hiring Practices

Diversity Hiring – Option 1*

- Train all interviewers on Biases/Discrimination
- Adopt Diversity Lab’s Mansfield Rule
- Adopt Subjective Hiring Practices
- When making final selections – be mindful

* non-metropolitan locations and/or organizations with very limited diversity
SOLUTIONS to Rigid / Subjective / Archaic Hiring Practices
Adopt Subjective Hiring Practices

- Insist on having a diverse pool of candidates
  - Prepare job posting to carefully to attract diverse candidates
  - Expand recruiting network if necessary
  - Make this diverse pool requirement known to outside recruiter or internal HR partner
  - Ideally, interview more than one diverse candidate for each open position

- Each hiring manager/interviewer should participate in implicit bias training

- Consider using AI or blinding the names of the applicants to screen resumes and short-list candidates

- Include underrepresented minorities in the interview team

- Conduct structured interviews
**SOLUTIONS** to Rigid / Subjective / Archaic Hiring Practices

**Diversity Hiring – Option 2***

- **Train all interviewers on Biases/Discrimination**
- **Remove all identifying information – e.g., gender, race, ethnicity**
- **Conduct the first phone interview using voice modulation – so you can’t determine what gender**
- **Adopt Diversity Lab’s Mansfield Rule**
- **Conduct Structured In-Person Interviews**
- **When making final selections – be objective, mindful, and bias-aware**

---

* metropolitan locations and/or organizations with average or above average diversity
**SOLUTIONS** to Rigid / Subjective / Archaic Hiring Practices

Conduct Structured In-Person Interviews

Structured interviews minimize bias by allowing interviewers to focus on work competencies rather than on what they have in common with the person being interviewed.

Structured interviews use standardize questions

- Ask the same questions to all of the candidates
- Questions should focus on skills and competencies required for the job
- Interviewers should rate their response each question immediately after the interview to neutralize biases
- Compare candidate responses horizontally (compare answers to questions one, then answers to question two, and so on)
Solutions to D&I Problems Affecting RETENTION

- Training
  - Implement Objective, Clear & Consistent Evaluation Metrics
- Resource Groups
- Management commitment to diverse leadership
- Increased diversity in leadership

- Vague / Subjective Evaluation Metrics
- Failure in Inclusion
- Leadership Lacks Diversity
- Institutional Fear of Change

Retention
SOLUTIONS to Leadership Lacks Diversity

1. Once a candidate is hired – let them show their “true self” at work
2. Support participation in Employee Resource Groups
3. Promote participation in diversity conferences and events
4. Provide internal and/or external mentoring
5. Track promotion rates of underrepresented minorities
“Action oriented” feedback provides actionable course of behavior for employee to improve performance

Evaluator should systematically tie all feedback to business goals / outcomes and also provide specific examples

Reduce unconscious bias in reviews through defined expectations and goals for each position, use of performance checklists, etc.

Implicit bias training for all employees involved in performance review

Use technology and data to identify problems and track outcomes

Patterns of bias in promotion and hiring

Disparities in compensation and rewards
SOLUTIONS to Failure in Inclusion

Need to understand who your employees are

- If you do not know how an employee feels or what they want – employee is more vulnerable to leave
- Diverse employees often hide important parts of themselves for fear of negative consequences – “Identity Cover”

Create environment where diversity of thought is encouraged

Must be responsive to issues raised

SOLUTIONS to Failure in Inclusion
Understanding Who Your Employees Are

Survey assessments
Segment the data in order to bring forward issues that could be leading to attrition

Focus groups
Facilitation by outside company or D&I professionals who don’t have vested interest in outcome

One-on-one conversations
Have to create safe environment where people feel like they are heard. Having the personal relationship creates a safe environment where the person on the other side is invested in your success

TO RETAIN EMPLOYEES, FOCUS ON INCLUSION – NOT JUST DIVERSITY, KAREN BROWN, HARVARD BUSINESS REVIEW (DEC. 4, 2018).
SOLUTIONS to Failure in Inclusion
Encourage Diversity of Thought

Implementing no interruption rules, allowing anonymous communication, and purposefully including diverse individuals in the discussion
- Call out interrupters and allow speaker to finish

Create environment where a person feels comfortable raising their hand or speaking up
- Encourage and reward participation from quieter employees

Management techniques to encourage open communication and garner input from all, despite differences in interaction styles
- “Round Robin” technique → not just fastest or loudest, but solicit feedback from every person in room or meeting
**SOLUTIONS** to Failure in Inclusion
Employee Trajectory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Managers must take an active role in employee trajectory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To ensure development of diverse employees, ensure that such employees aren’t underutilized, sitting on sideline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a roadmap to connect people with opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent day-to-day conversations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SOLUTIONS to Failure in Inclusion
Make the “Only” Experience Rare

Take steps to reduce the instances where there is only one diverse employee in the room

• Increase of pressure and isolation
• When there is only one diverse employee, that employee is more likely to think about and leave organization
SOLUTIONS to Failure in Inclusion
Employee Resource Groups

Provide opportunity for employees to be heard, valued, and engaged.

Groups can enhance cultural awareness, develop leadership skills and network with colleagues across business units at all levels, including senior leadership.

Provide opportunities to attend specialized training around leadership, mentoring, career development, etc.
**SOLUTIONS** to Failure in Inclusion

Diversity Consideration in Promotion and Leadership

Require diverse slate of candidates to be considered for promotions and leadership positions

**Mansfield Rule** measures whether law firms have affirmatively considered women, LGBTQ+, and minority lawyers and lawyers with disabilities—at least 30% of the candidate pool—for promotions, senior level hiring, client-facing opportunities and significant leadership roles in the firm.

[https://www.diversitylab.com/pilot-projects/mansfield-rule-3-0/](https://www.diversitylab.com/pilot-projects/mansfield-rule-3-0/)
**SOLUTIONS to Failure in Inclusion**

**Invest in Leadership Development**

- Use of formal and informal professional development tools
  - E.g., mentoring, coaching, and education opportunities.
- Organizational resources for career planning (creating and managing career objectives)
- Regularly evaluate internal talent to ensure that diverse employees are in the leadership development pipeline and not sidelined
SOLUTIONS to Failure in Inclusion

Improving Inclusion will Lead to Improved Retention – Summary

1. Offer networking opportunities inside and outside the organization
2. Pair up employees with active mentors
3. Provide clear, objective, and actionable feedback
4. Check-in on a regular basis with your employees – diverse or not! Request candid feedback.
5. Share clear and written policies on flexible work schedule / work from home and encourage all employees to use
6. Share transparent criteria for promotion and recognition (financial / non-financial)
7. Offer training programs to develop skills necessary for advancement
8. Act on employee feedback in a reasonable amount of time
9. Encourage collegiality and teamwork rather than engendering mistrust and competition
INTERSECTIONALITY
According to the Oxford English Dictionary, Intersectionality is defined as the interconnected nature of social categorizations such as race, class, and gender, regarded as creating overlapping and interdependent systems of discrimination or disadvantage; a theoretical approach based on such a premise.
Intersectionality

- Gender
- Race
- Class
- National Origin
- Religion
- Sex
“Because the intersectional experience is greater than the sum of racism and sexism, any analysis that does not take intersectionality into account cannot sufficiently address the particular manner in which Black women are subordinated.”

Kimberle Crenshaw, *Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics*
Intersectionality: Solutions

Work to understand how employees experience discrimination

• For example, a black woman does not just experience discrimination against black people and women separately—she experiences discrimination as a black woman
• Based on stereotypes—e.g., angry black women

Solutions come back to inclusion

Think about how D&I programs service all employees—e.g., resource groups, training
LGBTQIA+
**Lesbian** | A woman who is emotionally, romantically or sexually attracted to other women. **Sexual orientation** | An inherent or immutable enduring emotional, romantic or sexual attraction to other people.

**Gay** | A person who is emotionally, romantically or sexually attracted to members of the same gender.

**Bisexual** | A person emotionally, romantically or sexually attracted to more than one sex, gender or gender identity though not necessarily simultaneously, in the same way or to the same degree. **Cisgender** | A term used to describe a person whose gender identity aligns with those typically associated with the sex assigned to them at birth.

**Transgender** | An umbrella term for people whose gender identity and/or expression is different from cultural expectations based on the sex they were assigned at birth. Being transgender does not imply any specific sexual orientation. Therefore, transgender people may identify as straight, gay, lesbian, bisexual, etc.

**Queer** | A term people often use to express fluid identities and orientations. Often used interchangeably with "LGBTQ."

**Intersex** | An umbrella term used to describe a wide range of natural bodily variations. In some cases, these traits are visible at birth, and in others, they are not apparent until puberty. Some chromosomal variations of this type may not be physically apparent at all.

**Asexual** | The lack of a sexual attraction or desire for other people.

*Human Rights Campaign*
**Ally** | A person who is not LGBTQ but shows support for LGBTQ people and promotes equality in a variety of ways.

**Cisgender** | A term used to describe a person whose gender identity aligns with those typically associated with the sex assigned to them at birth.

**Gender dysphoria** | Clinically significant distress caused when a person's assigned birth gender is not the same as the one with which they identify.

**Gender expression** | External appearance of one's gender identity, usually expressed through behavior, clothing, haircut or voice, and which may or may not conform to socially defined behaviors and characteristics typically associated with being either masculine or feminine.

**Gender identity** | One’s innermost concept of self as male, female, a blend of both or neither – how individuals perceive themselves and what they call themselves. One's gender identity can be the same or different from their sex assigned at birth.

**Gender non-conforming** | A broad term referring to people who do not behave in a way that conforms to the traditional expectations of their gender, or whose gender expression does not fit neatly into a category.

**Gender transition** | The process by which some people strive to more closely align their internal knowledge of gender with its outward appearance. Includes social and physical transitions.

**Sexual orientation** | An inherent or immutable enduring emotional, romantic or sexual attraction to other people.
LGBTQIA: Flags

- Rainbow
- Transgender
- Pansexual
- Bisexual
- Intersex
- Non-binary
- Asexual
- Agender
- Philadelphia People of Color Inclusive
LGBTQIA: Discrimination

- Bulling
- Transphobia
- Homophobia
- Outing
- Biphobia
- Harassment
LGBTQIA: Solutions

- **Training**, training, training
- Adoption of universal pronoun identification for employees
- Management *commitment* to learning and improving inclusion of LGBTQIA personnel
- Resource groups
- Promotion of *allyship*
Allyship
What is **allyship**?

The practice of emphasizing social justice, inclusion, and human rights by members of a privileged group to advance the interests of a marginalized group.

To be an **ally**, you must take on the struggle as your own, even if you do not fully understand what it feels like to be oppressed for race, ethnicity, gender, sexuality, ability, class, religion, etc.
Allyship requires Action

- Become a sponsor, a champion
- Speak up about injustices and microaggressions
- Call out inappropriate or unacceptable behavior
- Use your privilege to amplify underrepresented voices
- Be a confidant: listen and acknowledge
- Pay attention to representation
- Educate yourself about the experiences and struggles of underrepresented groups
The Dos and Don’ts of Allyship

- Do be open to listening
- Do be aware of your implicit biases
- Do your research to learn more about the history of the struggle in which you are participating
- Do the inner work to figure out a way to acknowledge how you participate in oppressive systems
- Do the outer work and figure out how to change the oppressive systems
- Do use your privilege to amplify (digitally and in-person) historically suppressed voices
- Do learn how to listen and accept criticism with grace, even if it’s uncomfortable
- Do the work every day to learn how to be a better ally

- Do not expect to be taught or shown. Take it upon yourself to use the tools around you to learn and answer your questions
- Do not participate for the gold medal in the “Oppression Olympics” (you don’t need to compare how your struggle is “just as bad as” a marginalized person’s)
- Do not behave as though you know best
- Do not take credit for the labor of those who are marginalized and did the work before you stepped into the picture
- Do not assume that every member of an underinvested community feels oppressed

https://guidetoallyship.com/
The End
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